

APPENDIX A

3-2-5-1-1
30 March 1951

List of CIA Documents in Development of Methods and Specifications for Country-by-Country Studies called for by PW-586 and IFIS Requirements

1. Original, 15 June 1950, Intra-CIA Draft (Confidential), Intelligence Production Plan for Support of Psychological-Warfare Planning, with Appendices I - VI. Appendix VI is an outline for a physical-accessibility study.
2. 15 June 1950, Intra-CIA Draft (Confidential), Principles for Production of Psychological-Warfare Intelligence, with Appendices I - V. In this document Appendices I - V are identical with Appendices I - V of the document No. 1 named above. However, the first four introductory pages of the preceding document are replaced in the Principles with a two-page statement discussing the problem briefly and referring to a future document containing specifications for production.
3. 9 August 1950, Draft (Secret), Specifications for Country-by-Country Studies in Physical and Psychological Accessibility to Psychological Warfare, with Appendices A and B. (This is usable with document No. 1 named above, or, if physical accessibility is left out, with document No. 2 named above.)
4. 4 October 1950, Revised Intra-CIA Draft (Confidential), Intelligence Production Plan for Country-by-Country Studies in Support of Psychological-Warfare Planning. This is a restatement, and in that sense a "revised" draft, of the introductory part of document No. 1 above.
5. Orientation, no date (approximately 15 June 1950), (Confidential), Outline of Orientation on O/RE Draft Plan for Psychological-Warfare Intelligence Production. This five-page document provides a very popular introduction to document No. 1 named above, prepared for an RDB conference at the Pentagon.
6. Manuscript called The Methodological Framework for the Country-by-Country Studies in CIA's PW Project, from a Social Science Point of View (Confidential), June 1950. A manuscript of six pages written primarily for social science consultants.

Note: The above documents overlap, particularly the drafts 1, 2, 3, and 4. This overlapping arose as a result of writing each document for a slightly different audience or occasion. Making due allowance for the overlap, No. 4 might well be read before Nos. 1, 2, and 3; No. 1 or No. 2 should be read next if one is concerned with the theoretical framework; and No. 3 (the best to read if none of the others are examined) addresses itself more directly to the intelligence analyst. Either No. 5 or No. 6 might well be read also, the choice depending upon one's background.